arXiv:math/0701634v1 [math.NT] 23 Jan 2007

The local Tamagawa number conjecture for Hecke characters, II

Francesc Bars *

Abstract

In this paper we prove the weak local Tamagawa number conjecture for the remaining non-critical cases for the motives associated to Hecke characters $\psi_\theta : \mathbb{A}_K \to K^*$ of [1], where K is an imaginary quadratic field with $cl(K) = 1$, under certain restrictions which originate mainly from the Iwasawa theory of imaginary quadratic fields.

1. Introduction

Let E be a CM elliptic curve defined over an imaginary quadratic field K of $cl(K) = 1$, with CM given by $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers, D_K the discriminant of K. We can consider φ the Hecke character associated to E. Consider the Chow motive $h^1(E)$, and also $\otimes^w h^1(E)$. The Chow motive $\otimes^w h^1(E)$ has a decomposition in pure motives M_θ where every one of them is related with a Hecke character ψ_θ. Observe that every Hecke character from $\mathbb{A}_K$ the adèle group to K^* correspond to some concrete ψ_θ. In [1] we study the Tamagawa number conjecture for $M_\theta(w+l+1)$ associated to a Hecke character ψ_θ with $l \geq 0$.

The value of the L-function at zero for $M_\theta(w+l+1)$ is related with the first non-zero coefficient of the Taylor development at $-l$ of the L-function associated to $\overline{\psi}_\theta$ the complex conjugation from the Hecke character ψ_θ by the use of the functional equation of L-functions. Observe that $-l$ is a non-critical value associated to the Hecke character. The non-critical values associated to the Hecke character $\overline{\psi}_\theta$ (we restrict to the situation $a_\theta \not\equiv b_\theta (mod|\mathcal{O}_K^*|)$) are the integers l such that $-l \leq min(a_\theta, b_\theta)$ where a_θ, b_θ are associated to the Hecke character ψ_θ (see [3, Theorem 1.4.1])

The general formulation of the Tamagawa number conjecture at the non-critical values following [5] assumes $w+l+1 > w$ [5, Conjecture 2.2.7] because then one avoids the poles in the bad Euler factors, and therefore the assumption $l \geq 0$. But, for $M_\theta(w+l+1)$, there are no poles in the bad Euler factors, see [1, remark 2.6]. Thus, it is natural to study the Tamagawa number conjecture for $l < 0$.

In this paper we construct elements in K-theory for $M_\theta(w+l+1)$ with $0 < -l \leq min(a_\theta, b_\theta)$ and obtain the image of these by the Beilinson regulator map and the Soulé regulator map, obtaining the weak local Tamagawa number conjecture.

Deninger [3, pp.142-144] already constructed elements in K-theory for the motive $M_\theta(w+l+1)$ with $l < 0$ non-critical and the image of these elements by the Beilinson regulator map satisfy the expected properties, proving the Beilinson conjecture. He constructed these elements in K-theory by use of a projector map $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}$ without using complex multiplication. The problem of his construction is that the Weil pairing appearing in [1, §5] to a $E[p^r]$-torsion point $\widetilde{t_r}$, $\gamma(\widetilde{t_r}) =< \widetilde{t_r}, \widetilde{t_r} >$ is trivial and the arguments through [1, §5] does not generalize in order to construct an Euler system to control the image by the Soulé regulator map. We modify Deninger's projector map by $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M}$ (we use now complex multiplication), and we construct the elements in K-theory using $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M}$ and we reobtain Beilinson's conjecture. With this modification the arguments in the p-part of the weak Tamagawa number conjecture, i.e. the image by the Soulé regulator map of these K-theory elements [1, §4,§5], apply straightforward obtaining the result.

---

[*] MSC(2000): Primary: 11G40; Secondary: 11G55, 11R42, 14G10, 19F27.

2. The motives associated to Hecke characters

The elliptic curve E has conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ which coincides with the conductor of the Hecke character φ.

Let us introduce the motives M_θ. Consider the category of Chow motives $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K)$ over K with morphisms induced by graded correspondences in Chow theory tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, the motive of the elliptic curve E has a canonical decomposition $h(E)_{\mathbb{Q}} = h^0(E)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus h^1(E)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus h^2(E)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The motive $h^1(E)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a multiplication by K [2, §1.3]. Let us consider the motive $\otimes^w_{\mathbb{Q}} h^1(E)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, for w a strictly positive integer, which has multiplication by $T_w := \otimes^w_{\mathbb{Q}} K$. Observe that T_w has a decomposition $\prod_\theta T_\theta$ as a product of fields T_θ, where θ runs through the $Aut(\mathbb{C})$-orbits of $Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(T_w, \mathbb{C})$, and θ can be considered as a subset of $Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(T_w, \mathbb{C})$. This decomposition defines some idempotents e_θ and gives a decomposition of the motive $\otimes^w h^1 E$ and its realizations. We have that $T_\theta \cong K$. The idempotent e_θ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]$, then

$$M_\theta := e_\theta(\otimes^w h^1(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]),$$

is an integral Chow motive with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]$, that is an element in the category $\mathcal{M}(K)$ constructed like $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K)$ tensoring the correspondences with $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]$ istead of tensoring by $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$. We have that it has multiplication by $e_\theta(\otimes^w \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K])$, recall that $h^1(E)$ has multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Let us fix once and for all an immersion $\lambda : K \to \mathbb{C}$ as in [3, p.135]. The L-function associated to the motive $e_\theta(\otimes^w h^1(E)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ corresponds to the L-function associated to $\psi_\theta = e_\theta(\otimes^w \varphi) : \mathbb{A}^*_K \to K^*$ ([3, §1.3.1]) a CM-character, which is the complex Hecke character that we also denote by $\psi_\theta : \mathbb{A}^*_K/K^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ from our fixed embedding λ. Let us remember for reader's convenience that we have already three equivalent notions of Hecke characters, one of them is the CM-character [1, remark 2.2]. The CM-character is equivalent to a map named also $\psi_\theta : \mathbb{A}^*_K/K^* \to I_{K^*}$ where I_{K^*} is the idèle group of K, [1, remark 2.2]. A complex Hecke character is taking the value at some archimedian place of this last notion of a Hecke character.

The CM-character corresponds to $\varphi^{a_\theta}\overline{\varphi}^{b_\theta}$, where $a_\theta, b_\theta \geq 0$ are non-negative integers such that $w = a_\theta + b_\theta$. The pair (a_θ, b_θ) is the infinite type for ψ_θ. There are different θ with the same infinite type. Every θ has two elements of $Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(T_w, \mathbb{C})$, one is given by the infinite type $\vartheta \in \theta \cap Hom_K(T_w, \mathbb{C})$ and the other is ϑ composed with the complex conjugation.

The motivic cohomology group $H^{w+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}(w+l+1))$ is the K-theory group

$$H_{\mathcal{M}} := K_{2(w+l)-w+1}(M_\theta)^{(w+l+1)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

where the K-groups are the Quillen K-groups and the superscript indicates the Adam's filtration on them. Let S be a finite set of primes of K, which contains the primes above $p\mathfrak{f}_\theta$, where $\mathfrak{f}_\theta$ is the conductor of the Hecke character ψ_θ. Denote for simplicity

$$M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1) := H^w_{\acute{e}t}(M_\theta \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Z}_p(w+l+1)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K],$$

and

$$M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}(w+l+1) := H^w_{\acute{e}t}(M_\theta \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_p(w+l+1)).$$

We impose that $w - 2(w+l+1) \leq -3$. We have a Beilinson regulator map,

$$r_{\mathcal{D}} : H_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathbb{R} \to H^w_B(M_{\theta\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}(w+l)) \otimes \mathbb{R},$$

where the cohomology group on the right is the Betti realization for our motive, which coincides with $e_\theta(\otimes^w_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(1))(l)$. We have in this $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space a $\mathbb{Z}[1/D_K]$-lattice given by $H_{h,\mathbb{Z}[1/D_K]} := e_\theta(\otimes^w_{\mathbb{Z}} H^1_B(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}(1)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K])(l)$, which is an $\mathcal{O}_\theta$-module of rank 1.

We have also, for every prime number p, the Soulé regulator map:

$$r_p : H_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S], M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}(w+l+1)).$$

The L-function associated to the motive M_θ is defined by

$$L_S(M_\theta, s) = L_S(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\notin S} P_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}, s) \quad \text{for } Re(s) >> 0,$$

where $M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p} = H^w_{et}(M_\theta \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the local Euler factors $P_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}, s)$ are given by

$$P_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}, s) := det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(1 - Fr_{\mathfrak{p}} N\mathfrak{p}^{-s} | M^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}_{\theta\mathbb{Q}_p}) = (1 - \psi_\theta(\mathfrak{p}) N\mathfrak{p}^{-s})(1 - \overline{\psi_\theta}(\mathfrak{p}) N\mathfrak{p}^{-s})$$

where Fr is the geometric Frobenius at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the inertia group at $\mathfrak{p}$ [1, Lemma 2.5].

3. Modification of Deninger's projector map. Beilinson conjecture revisited.

Let us fix $w \geq 1$ and $l < 0$ such that $-w - 2l \leq -3$ with $0 < -l \leq min(a_\theta, b_\theta)$ and let us consider the motive $M_\theta(w+l+1)$. With the fixed embedding we have $\vartheta = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_w) \in \theta$ and set $I_1 = \{i | \lambda_i \in Hom_K(K, \mathbb{C})\}$ and $I_2 = \{i | \lambda_i \notin Hom_K(K, \mathbb{C})\}$ and we have now that $0 < |l| \leq \# I_1 = a_\theta$ and $0 < |l| \leq \# I_2 = b_\theta$. Denote by $\Delta = id^1 \times id^2 : E \to E \times E$ the diagonal map and by $\Delta_{CM} = id^{1,CM} \times id^{2,CM} : E \to E \times E$ given by $e \mapsto (e, (\sqrt{d_K})e)$ where we understand $\sqrt{d_K} \in End(E)$. Let us choose exactly $|l|$ elements in the sets I_1 and I_2 denote it in increasing order $i_1, \ldots, i_{|l|} \in I_1$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_{|l|} \in I_2$. Let us define the projector map $pr : E^{w+l} \to E^{w+2l}$ by the projection of the first $w+2l$-components of E^{w+l} and let us define $(id \times \Delta^{|l|}) : E^{w+l} \to E^w$ (which it depends of the choice in the sets I_1 and I_2) by $(e_1, \ldots, e_{w+2l}, e_{w+2l+1}, \ldots, e_{w+l}) \mapsto (e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_w})$ where e_{α_s} is defined as follows:

- if α_s appears in one component of the set of tuples $L := \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_{|l|}, j_{|l|})\}$ then
$$e_{\alpha_s} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} id^1(e_{w+2l+m}) \ if \ \alpha_s = i_m \\ id^2(e_{w+2l+m}) \ if \ \alpha_s = j_m \end{array} \right. ,$$
- in the other case, then it is defined by $e_{\alpha_s} := e_{ny}$ with $1 \leq ny \leq w + 2l$ such that $\alpha_s = ny + \sum 1$ where the sum runs the naturals that appear in some component of the elements of L and which are lower than α_s.

We define also the map $(id \times \Delta^{|l|}_{CM})$ similarly as the map $(id \times \Delta^{|l|})$ but interchanging id^i by $id^{i,CM}$.

We define the projector map $\mathcal{K}'_{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{2l+w+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(Sym^{2l+w} h^1 E, \mathbb{Q}(w+2l+1)) \arrow[r, "pr^*"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{K}'_{\mathcal{M}}"'] & H^{2l+w+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(E^{2l+w+|l|}, \mathbb{Q}(2l+w+1)) \arrow[d, "(id \times \Delta^{|l|}_{CM})_*"] \\
H^{w+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(M_{\theta\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}(w+l+1)) & H^{w+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(h^1(E)^{\otimes w}, \mathbb{Q}(l+w+1)) \arrow[l, "e_\theta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Deninger defines a projector map $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with a similar diagram as our $\mathcal{K}'_{\mathcal{M}}$ by replacing the map $(id \times \Delta^{|l|})_*$ instead of the map $(id \times \Delta^{|l|}_{CM})_*$.

Define an element in $H_{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$\Upsilon_\theta := \mathcal{K}'_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}^{2l+w}_{\mathcal{M}}(N_{K(E[\mathfrak{f}])/K}((\Omega f^{-1}))),$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{2l+w}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the Eisenstein symbol, f a generator of $\mathfrak{f}_\theta$, Ω the period of E and (Ωf^{-1}) means the divisor in $\mathbb{Z}[E[\mathfrak{f}_\theta] \setminus 0]$.

The next result is a modification of Deninger's result [3, pp.143-145].

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose* $a_\theta \not\equiv b_\theta$ *mod* $|\mathcal{O}_K^*|$ *with* $a_\theta, b_\theta \geq 0$, $l < 0$ $w = a_\theta + b_\theta$, *with* $-w - 2l \leq -3$. *Define, up to sign,*

$$\xi_{\theta,l} := \frac{(\sqrt{d_K})^{2l}(2l+w)!L_p(\overline{\psi_\theta}, -l)^{-1}\Phi(\mathfrak{f}_\theta)}{2^{-1}N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{f}_\theta^l\psi_\theta(\rho_\theta)\Phi(\mathfrak{f})}\Upsilon_\theta$$

which belongs to $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{w+1}(M_\theta, \mathbb{Q}(w+l+1))$ *where* $L_p(\overline{\psi_\theta}, -l)$ *means the product of the Euler factors of the primes above* p *of* K *at* $-l$ *(is well defined by* [1, remark 2.7]*), and* ρ_θ *is the idèle of* K *such that* $v_\mathfrak{q}(\rho_\mathfrak{q}^{-1} - f^{-1}) \geq 0$ *for* $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{f}_\theta$ *and* $v_\mathfrak{q}(\rho_\mathfrak{q}) = 0$ *in the other primes* $\mathfrak{q}$. *Then*

$$r_\mathcal{D}(\xi_{\theta,l}) = L_S^*(\overline{\psi_\theta}, -l)\eta_\theta,$$

where S *are the set of primes of* K *that divide* $\mathfrak{f}_\theta p$, *and* η_θ *means an* $\mathcal{O}_K$*-basis for* $H_{h,\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* $L_S^*(\overline{\psi}_\theta, -l) = lim_{s+l\to 0}\frac{L_S(\overline{\psi}_\theta, s)}{s+l}$.

Proof. We will follow closely Deninger's papers [2] and [3], we follow also in this proof his notation where his n is our $w+2l$. Deninger defines the element $\xi_{\theta,l}$ from $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{M}^{2l+w}(N_{K(E[\mathfrak{f}])/K}((\Omega f^{-1})))$ instead of Υ_θ. We modify only the calculation in [3, (2.13)Lemma] for $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M}$ instead of $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M}$, and for our chosen order for the factors of the map $(id \times \Delta_{CM}^{|l|})$ we have to control some sign that we do not do. One obtains (up to sign)

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^w}\int_{E^w}\tilde{\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{\xi}) \wedge dz^{(\underline{\varepsilon})} =$$

$$B_{\underline{\varepsilon}}\sqrt{d_K}^{|l|}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ n+|l|-|\underline{\varepsilon}| \end{pmatrix}^{-1} A(\Gamma)^{n+|l|}c_{n+|l|-|\underline{\varepsilon}|}$$

by it calculation at the top of [2, p.63]. Then the argument [3, p.143-144] applies in our situation obtaining,

$$r_\mathcal{D}(\Upsilon_\theta) = t_\theta L^*(\overline{\psi}_\theta, -l)\eta_\theta$$

where t_θ is given by (up to sign) $\frac{2^{-1}N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{f}_\theta^l\psi_\theta(\rho_\theta)\Phi(\mathfrak{f})}{(\sqrt{d_K})^{2l}(2l+w)!\Phi(\mathfrak{f}_\theta)}$. By [1, remark 2.7] we can introduce the Euler factors above p in the constant and introduce the function L_S for the motive, obtaining the result. $\square$

4. THE WEAK TAMAGAWA NUMBER CONJECTURE FOR $l < 0$

Following [1, §3] we define for $l < 0$ the constructible space by

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta := \xi_{\theta,l}\mathcal{O}_K,$$

with $\xi_{\theta,l}$ given by the theorem 3.1. Let us observe that with this notation we can follow straightforward all the results and proofs of [1, §3] and [1, §4]. In [1, §5] we need to compute $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{M}^{w+2l}(N_{K(E[\mathfrak{f}])/K}(\Omega f^{-1}))$. Denote by $e = (\widetilde{t_r})_r$ and element of the Tate module T_pE where $\widetilde{t_r} \in E[p^r]$ a p^r-torsion point for E.

Lemma 4.1. *The realization on Galois cohomology of the projector map* $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M}$ *has the property,* $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{t_r}^{\otimes 2l+w}) = e_\theta(\otimes^w\widetilde{t_r}) \otimes \gamma(\widetilde{t_r})^l$ *where* $\gamma(\widetilde{t_r}) =< \widetilde{t_r}, \sqrt{d_K}\widetilde{t_r} >$ *which is the Tate twist.*

Proof. Observe first that the projector map is the composite of $\mathcal{K}'_\mathcal{M} = e_\theta \circ (id \times \Delta_{CM}^{|l|})_* \circ pr^*$. Let us take $\delta_* := (id \times \Delta_{CM}^{|l|})_* \circ pr^*$ and observe that its transpose $\delta^* = pr_* \circ (id \times \Delta_{CM}^{|l|})^*$ is in the definition on $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{M} = e_\theta \circ \delta^*$ with $l > 0$ given at [6] and therefore we know that it has the claimed property of this lemma [6]. We need only to study these projector maps on the Galois cohomology. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the étale realization of $h^1(E)(1)$ and observe that there is an isomorphism

$\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(1) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, from $(h^1(E)(1))^* = h_1(E)(-1) \cong h^1(E)(1)(-1) = h^1(E)$ and therefore $(h^1(E)(1))^*(1) \cong h^1(E)(1)$. The map δ^* is given by

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, Sym^{2l+w}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, Sym^w(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})(l+1)),$$

and because the map δ_* is the transpose for the map δ^* up to Tate twist by $w+l$ it is represented by global Tate duality by,

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, Sym^w(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})^*(-l-1)(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, Sym^{2l+w}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})^*(-1)(1)).$$

Is known [6] that

$$\delta^*(\varprojlim_r(\otimes^{2l+w}\widetilde{t}_r)) = \varprojlim_r((\otimes^w\widetilde{t}_r)\gamma(\widetilde{t}_r)^l)$$

write it also by $\delta^*(\otimes^{w+2l}e) = (\otimes^w e)\gamma(e)^l$ (**). Take now the dual map by $Hom(,\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and do the identification $T_pE \cong Hom(T_pE, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$, to obtain

$$(\otimes^w e(-1))\gamma(e)^{-l} \mapsto \otimes^{w+2l}e(-1)$$

twisting now by $w+l$ we arrive to the definition for δ_* and,

$$\delta_*(\otimes^w e) \mapsto (\otimes^{w+2l}e)\gamma(e)^{-l}$$

and taking this equality at level r we finish.

□

After the lemma 4.1 all the results of [1, §5] and the proofs of [1, §5] follow straightforward up to a power of 2 and D_K, (the reader could make these modifications which follow only from our definition of $\mathcal{R}_\theta$). Therefore we obtain the weak local Tamagawa number conjecture with K-coefficients and $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, under standard hypothesis from Iwasawa theory for imaginary quadratic fields:

(*) Let p be a fix prime different from 2 and 3 (hence, in particular, $p \nmid \#|\mathcal{O}_K^*|$), and $p \nmid N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{f}$. Suppose that ψ_θ has infinity type (a_θ, b_θ) with a_θ, b_θ non-negative integers, such that $a_\theta \not\equiv b_\theta \ mod|\mathcal{O}_K^*|$ and $w = a_\theta + b_\theta \geq 1$ verifies $-w-2l \leq -3$ with $l < 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_K^* \to (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f}_\theta)^*$ is injective. Suppose moreover that the representation χ of $Gal(K(E[p])/K)$ in $Hom_{\mathcal{O}_p}(H^w(M_\theta \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Z}_p(w+l)), \mathcal{O}_p)$ is a non-trivial representation that if p inert we impose moreover that as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation is irreducible. Suppose moreover χ is not the cyclotomic character and it satisfies two results on isomorphism between Iwasawa modules: (i) Iwasawa main conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields is true [6, Rubin Theorem 2.1.3] for χ and the elliptic units of [1, definition 4.1] and (ii) the conclusion of [6, Corollary 2.2.11] is satisfied. See [1, remark 4.4] for results about how this conditions impose restrictions on $M_\theta(w+l+1)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume hypotheses (*). If we denote by $M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+m) = H^w(M_\theta \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Z}_p(w+m))$, (by hypothesis $p \nmid D_K$) then, there is an $\mathcal{O}_K$-submodule $\mathcal{R}_\theta \subset H_{\mathcal{M}}$ of rank 1 such that:*

(1) $det_{\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]}(r_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{R}_\theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K])) \cong$

$$L_S^*(\overline{\psi}_\theta, -l)det_{\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]}(H_B^w(M_{\theta\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(w+l)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K])$$

in $det_{\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]\otimes\mathbb{R}}(H_B^w(M_{\theta\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(w+l)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K] \otimes \mathbb{R})$.

(2) *The map r_p induces an isomorphism*

$$det_{\mathcal{O}_K\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{R}_\theta) \cong det_{\mathcal{O}_K\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S], M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1))^{-1}.$$

Here

$$L_S^*(\overline{\psi}_\theta, -l) = \lim_{s\to -l} \frac{L_S(\overline{\psi}_\theta, s)}{s+l},$$

and S is the set of primes of K dividing p and the ones dividing $\mathfrak{f}_\theta$.

Moreover, if r_p is injective on $\mathcal{R}_\theta$, the second part can be written as

$$det_{\mathcal{O}_K\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p}(H^1(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S],M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1))/r_p(\mathcal{R}_\theta))\cong$$
$$det_{\mathcal{O}_K\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p}H^2(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S],M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1)).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose hypotheses (*).*

Then, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ in $H_{\mathcal{M}}$ of rank 2 such that:

(1) *The map $r_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism restricted to $\mathcal{R}_\theta\otimes\mathbb{R}$.*

(2) $dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H^w_B(M_{\theta\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{Z}(w+l))\otimes\mathbb{Q})=ord_{s=-l}L_S(H^w(M_\theta,\mathbb{Q}_p),s)=2.$

(3) *We have the equality*

$$r_{\mathcal{D}}(det_{\mathbb{Z}[1/D_K]}(\mathcal{R}_\theta\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K]))=$$
$$L^*_S(H^w_{et}(M_\theta,\mathbb{Q}_p),-l)det_{\mathbb{Z}[1/D_K]}(H^w_B(M_\theta,\mathbb{Z}(w+l))\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{O}_K[1/D_K])$$

where

$$L^*_S(H^w_{et}(M_\theta,\mathbb{Q}_p),-l)=\lim_{s\to -l}\frac{L_S(H^w_{et}(M_\theta,\mathbb{Q}_p),s)}{(s+l)^2}$$

and S is the set of places of K that divides p and the places dividing the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_\theta$.

(4) *We have that*

$$det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{R}_\theta\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)=det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S],M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1)))^{-1}.$$

If r_p is injective on $\mathcal{R}_\theta$, then $r_p(det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{R}_\theta\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p))$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice

$$det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S],M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1)))^{-1}$$
$$\subset det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_K[1/S],M_{\theta\mathbb{Z}_p}(w+l+1)\otimes\mathbb{Q})[-1]).$$

REFERENCES

[1] *F. Bars*: The local Tamagawa number conjecture for Hecke characters. Submitted. See http://mat.uab.es/∼francesc/publicac.html

[2] *C. Deninger*: Higher regulators and Hecke L-series of imaginary quadratic fields I. Invent. Math. 96, 1-69 (1989).

[3] *C. Deninger*: Higher regulators and Hecke L-series of imaginary quadratic field II. Ann. of Math.(2) 192, 131-155 (1990).

[4] *T. Geisser*: A p-adic K-theory of Hecke characters of imaginary quadratic fields and an analogue of Beilinson's conjectures. Duke Math. J. 86, no.3, 197-338 (1997).

[5] *K. Kato*: Lectures on the approach to Iwasawa theory for Hasse-Weil L-functions via B_{dR}. In Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry (Trento, 1991), LNM 1553, 50-163 (1993).

[6] *G. Kings*: The Tamagawa number conjecture for CM elliptic curves. Invent. math. 143, 571-627 (2001).

Francesc Bars Cortina
Depart. Matemàtiques, Edifici C,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
08193 Bellaterra. Catalonia. Spain.
e-mail: francesc@mat.uab.cat